arXiv:math/0508513v1 [math.RA] 25 Aug 2005

Factorization in Quantized Weyl Algebras

Candis Cook*and Kenneth Price
University of Wisconsin Oshkosh

August 24, 2005

Abstract

Let q be a nonzero scalar. The quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ is a noncommutative ring generated by variables x, y such that $yx = qxy + 1$. We study factorization in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ and consider irreducible and prime elements. We classify irreducible quadratic forms and identify two important classes. A result on Ore extensions is needed to describe prime quadratic forms.

Suppose R is a Noetherian domain and (σ, δ) is a quasi-derivation of R such that σ is an automorphism. There is an induced quasi-derivation on the classical quotient ring Q of R. Let $f = t^2 - v$ be normal in $R[t; \sigma, \delta]$ where $v \in R$. We show f is prime if and only if f is irreducible in $Q[t; \sigma, \delta]$. We apply this result to quantized Weyl algebras.

It is well-known that the principal ideal generated by $u = (q-1)xy + 1$ is completely prime if $q \neq 1$. Thus u is a prime polynomial. We prove all primes are scalar multiples of u if q is not a root of unity. Conditions are provided for (i) a single variable polynomial to be prime if q is an arbitrary root of unity and (ii) a quadratic form to be prime when $q = -1$.

1 Overview

Throughout F denotes a commutative field of characteristic different from 2 and all rings are equipped with a multiplicative identity. We denote the set of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N}$ and the field of real numbers by $\mathbb{R}$.

We refer to [2, Section 1.4] for background on factorization in noncommutative domains. Our approach to factorization is two-sided, so we cover basic concepts.

Definition 1 *Suppose R is a domain and $a \in R$.*

1. *We call a* irreducible *if a is not a unit and if $a = bc$ for some $b, c \in R$ then either b is a unit or c is a unit.*
2. *We say a* divides *$c \in R$, and write $a|c$, if there exists $b \in R$ such that $c = ab$ or $c = ba$. In this case we call c a* multiple *of a.*
3. *We call a* prime *if $a|bc$ implies $a|b$ or $a|c$ for all $b, c \in R$.*

In section 2 we recall the construction of $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ as an Ore extension. Its variables x, y satisfy equation 1.

$$yx = qxy + 1 \tag{1}$$

We classify reducible quadratic forms in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$.

---

*The first author was funded by an "Undergraduate Student and Faculty Collaborative Research Project" provided by the University of Wisconsin Oshkosh.

Corollary 2 *Let $a, b, c \in F\backslash\{0\}$ and $k \in F$.*

1. *$bxy + k$ is reducible if and only if $k = 0$ or $b = qk$.*
2. *$ax^2 + cy^2 + k$ is reducible if and only if one of the following holds*
   - *(a) $k \neq 0$ and $ac = -qk^2$*
   - *(b) $q = -1$, $ac = \tau^2$ and $(\tau - k)\, c = \omega^2$ for some $\tau, \omega \in F$*

Corollary 2 follows from a more technical result, Theorem 13. It covers two important types of quadratic forms. As an application we cover an easy example, which shows irreducible polynomials may not be prime in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$.

Example 3 *If $q \neq -1$ then $q^2xy + [2]_q$ is irreducible by Corollary 2, where $[2]_q = 1 + q$. Then x is not prime since $x|y^2x$ but $y^2x = \left(q^2xy + [2]_q\right) y$, $x \nmid y$, and $x \nmid q^2xy + [2]_q$. A similar argument shows y is not prime.*

A degree argument shows prime polynomials are irreducible in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$. Thus we may use Corollary 2 to identify candidates for prime quadratic forms in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$. This will rely on a description of normal monic polynomials of degree 2 in more general Ore extensions.

Suppose R is a Noetherian domain and σ is an automorphism of R. Recall that a σ-derivation is an additive map $\delta : R \to R$ such that $\delta(rs) = \delta(r)\, s + \sigma(r)\, \delta(s)$ for all $r, s \in R$. The pair (σ, δ) is called a quasi-derivation of R. Set $S = R[t; \sigma, \delta]$, the Ore extension over R, which is a Noetherian domain by [6, Theorem 2.2.6 and Exercise 2.2O].

Since R is a Noetherian domain we may form the classical quotient ring Q of R, which is a division ring. Following [5, Lemma 1.3], we may extend (σ, δ) to a quasi-derivation of Q. Then $Q[t; \sigma, \delta]$ is a principal left and right ideal domain by [6, Theorem 2.8]. The proof of Theorem 4 is provided in section 3.

Theorem 4 *Choose $v \in R$ and set $f = t^2 - v$.*

1. *If f is reducible in $Q[t; \sigma, \delta]$ then $f = (t - \sigma(w))(t + w)$ for some $w \in Q$. In this case $v = \sigma(w)\, w - \delta(w)$.*
2. *If f is normal then f is prime if and only if f is irreducible in $Q[t; \sigma, \delta]$.*

Lemma 5 is trivial.

Lemma 5 *Suppose I is the principal ideal generated by a normal element $r \in R$. Then r is prime if and only if I is completely prime.*

Example 6 *Suppose $q \neq 1$. If $u = (q-1)\, xy + 1$ then a straightforward calculation gives $ux = qxu$ and $yu = quy$ which implies u is normal. If I is the principal ideal generated by u then $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)/I \cong F\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$ by [5, Proposition 8.2]. Thus u is prime by Lemma 5.*

Theorem 7, our main result on primes of quantized Weyl algebras, is proved in section 4.

Theorem 7 *Suppose $q \neq 1$.*

1. *If q is not a root of unity then a polynomial in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ is prime if and only if it is a scalar multiple of u.*
2. *Suppose p is an irreducible polynomial belonging to $F[x]$ or $F[y]$. If p is central in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ then p is prime in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$.*

3. *Choose arbitrary* $a, b, c, k \in F$.
    (a) *If* $f = bxy + k$ *then* f *is prime if and only if* $f = ku$ *and* $k \neq 0$.
    (b) *If* $f = ax^2 + cy^2 + k$ *and* $q = -1$ *then* f *is prime if and only if* f *is irreducible.*

Remark 8 *Suppose* n *is a positive integer,* $n > 1$, *and* q *is a primitive* n^{th} *root of unity. Then* $f \in \mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ *is central if and only if the exponent of* x *and the exponent of* y *are both multiples of* n *for every term in the support of* f. *This is well-known (see for example [1, Lemma 2.2]).*

Part 2 of Theorem 7 and Remark 8 show prime polynomials may exist which are not scalar multiples of u whenever q is a root of unity.

Example 9 *Fix* $q = -1$ *and* $F = \mathbb{R}$.

1. *Suppose* $f = bxy + k$ *for some* $b, k \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}$. *Then* f *is reducible if and only if* $b = -k$. *On the other hand* f *is prime if and only if* $b = -2k$. *Thus* f *is irreducible but not prime when* $b \neq -k$ *and* $b \neq -2k$.
2. *Suppose* $f = ax^2 + y^2 + k$ *for some* $a, k \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then* f *is reducible if and only if* $a \geq 0$ *and* $\sqrt{a} \geq k$. *On the other hand if* $a > 0$ *and* $k > \sqrt{a}$ *or* $a < 0$ *then* f *is prime.*

2 Reducibility in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$

The results in this section were completed in collaboration with the student coauthor, Cook, who first encountered the topic of factorization in rings while enrolled in an undergraduate abstract algebra course taught by the faculty coauthor, Price. Our motivation was to extend results from commutative polynomial rings to skew polynomial rings in two variables. Similar results on quantum planes were obtained by the faculty coauthor and another undergraduate student (see [4]).

Let $q \in F \backslash \{0\}$ be arbitrary. There is an automorphism of $F[x]$ given by $\sigma(x) = qx$. If $q \neq 1$ then by [6, Exercise 2M] there is a σ-derivation δ of $F[x]$ such that

$$\delta(p) = \frac{\sigma(p) - p}{(q-1)x} \tag{2}$$

for all $p \in F[x]$. In particular, $\delta(x) = 1$.

The quantized Weyl algebra is the Ore extension $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F) = F[x][y; \sigma, \delta]$. The ordinary Weyl algebra has $q = 1$. See [3] for details on how to obtain the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_1^1(F)$ as a ring of differential operators. If q is not a root of unity then $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ can be constructed in a similar way if the ordinary derivative is replaced with the one in equation 2.

To simplify the product of elements of $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ we put x to the left of y in each term. Equation 1 extends to $yx^n = q^n x^n y + [n]_q x^{n-1}$ and $y^n x = q^n x y^n + [n]_q y^{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $[n]_q$ is given by the formula in equation 3.

$$[n]_q = \begin{cases} n & \text{if} \quad n = 0, 1 \\ 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1} & \text{if} \quad n > 1 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

A reordering formula of $y^i x^j$ for arbitrary $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ is given in [7, Theorem 2.3].

Definition 10 *We call* $f \in \mathcal{A}_1^q(F) \backslash \{0\}$ *a* quadratic form *if* $\deg(f) = 2$. *In this case it can be written as in equation 4 for some* $a, b, c, d, e, k \in F$ *with at least one of* a, b, c *nonzero.*

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + k \tag{4}$$

The quantum discriminant *of* f *is* $\Delta_q(f) = b^2 - 4acq$.

Checking quadratic forms for reducibility can be complicated since the product of two polynomials may be homogeneous even if the polynomials themselves are not homogeneous.

Example 11 *Let* $r \in F \backslash \{0\}$ *be arbitrary. Set* $f = x + r^2 y + r$ *and* $g = x + r^2 y - r$*. Then* f *and* g *are not homogeneous but* $fg = x^2 + [2]_q r^2 xy + r^4 y^2$ *is homogeneous.*

Lemma 12 *Let* $f = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + k$ *be an arbitrary quadratic form. If* f *is reducible then* $\Delta_q f$ *is a square in* F*.*

Proof. If f is reducible then it can be expressed as in equation 5.

$$f = (\lambda x + \mu y + \nu)(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma) \tag{5}$$

Expanding and equating coefficients yields six equations.

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} \text{i} & \lambda\alpha = a & \text{iv} & \lambda\gamma + \nu\alpha = d \\ \text{ii} & \lambda\beta + q\mu\alpha = b & \text{v} & \mu\gamma + \nu\beta = e \\ \text{iii} & \mu\beta = c & \text{vi} & \nu\gamma + \mu\alpha = k \end{array}$$

Thus $\Delta_q(f) = (\lambda\beta - q\mu\alpha)^2$ by substituting i, ii, and iii in the formula for $\Delta_q(f)$. ■

Theorem 13 *Let* $f = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + k$ *be a quadratic form. Then* f *is reducible if and only if one of the following holds.*

1. *either (a)* $a = k = 0$*, (b)* $c = k = 0$*, (c)* $a = b = 0$ *and* $-ck$ *is a square in* F*, or (d)* $b = c = 0$ *and* $-ak$ *is a square in* F
2. $k \neq 0$ *and* $\Delta_q f = (b - 2qk)^2$
3. $q \neq -1$*,* $b \neq 0$*,* $\Delta_q f = \left(\frac{1-q}{[2]_q} b\right)^2$*, and* $\sigma^2 = a\left(\frac{b}{[2]_q} - k\right)$ *for some* $\sigma \in F$
4. $q = -1$*,* $b = 0$*,* $\Delta_{-1} f = 4\tau^2 \neq 0$*, and* $(\tau - k)c = \omega^2$ *for some* $\tau, \omega \in F$

Proof of Theorem 13. First we assume f is reducible and show either case 1, 2, 3, or 4 holds. Write f as in equation 5 and recall equations i-vi in the proof of Theorem 12 with $d = e = 0$.

Suppose $\mu\alpha = 0$. Then equations i and iii imply $a = 0$ or $c = 0$. If $b \neq 0$ and $k \neq 0$ then Equations ii and vi imply $\beta, \gamma, \lambda, \nu$ are all nonzero. Then $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$ by equations iv and v, which contradicts $\mu\alpha = 0$. Thus we are left with $b = 0$ or $k = 0$. If $k = 0$ then case 1(a) or 1(b) holds. On the other hand if $b = 0$ and $k \neq 0$ then f is a quadratic polynomial in one-variable thus case 1(c) or 1(d) must hold.

Now suppose $\mu\alpha \neq 0$. Set $\pi = \mu\alpha$, $\chi = \nu\alpha$, and $\rho = \mu\gamma$. Then equation 5 may be rewritten as equation 6.

$$f = \frac{1}{\pi}(ax + \pi y + \chi)(\pi x + cy + \rho) \tag{6}$$

Expanding and equating coefficients yields four equations, listed as vii-x below.

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} \text{vii} & q\pi^2 + ac = b\pi & \text{ix} & \pi\chi + a\rho = 0 \\ \text{viii} & \chi\rho + \pi^2 = k\pi & \text{x} & c\chi + \pi\rho = 0 \end{array}$$

Solving equation ix for χ gives $\chi = -\frac{a\rho}{\pi}$. We find equations 7 and 8 by substituting into equations viii and x.

$$k = \pi - a\left(\frac{\rho}{\pi}\right)^2 \tag{7}$$

$$\left(ac - \pi^2\right)\rho = 0 \tag{8}$$

We are left with three subcases.

- Suppose $\rho = 0$. Then equations 7 and vii imply $k = \pi$ and $b = qk + \frac{1}{k}ac$. The quantum discriminant is $\Delta_q f = (b - 2qk)^2$ so case 2 holds.
- Suppose $\rho \neq 0$ and $q \neq -1$. Equations 8 and vii give $ac = \pi^2$ and $b = [2]_q \pi$. Thus $b \neq 0$ and the quantum discriminant is $\Delta_q f = \left(\frac{1-q}{[2]_q} b\right)^2$. Substitute $b = [2]_q \pi$ into equation 7 to see that $\left(\frac{a\rho}{\pi}\right)^2 = a\left(\frac{b}{[2]_q} - k\right)$. Thus case 3 holds.
- Suppose $\rho \neq 0$ and $q = -1$. Equations 8 and vii give $ac = \pi^2$ and $b = 0$. One immediately checks the quantum discriminant is $\Delta_q f = 4\pi^2 \neq 0$. We use equation 7 to find $\rho^2 = (\pi - k)\, c$. Thus case 4 holds.

Next we provide factorizations of f if case 1, 2, 3, or 4 holds.

1. This is easy when $k = 0$ so assume $k \neq 0$. Then $b = 0$ and f is a quadratic polynomial in one-variable. This case follows from the quadratic formula.
2. The factorization is given in equation 9 .

$$f = (ax + ky)\left(x + \frac{1}{k}cy\right) \tag{9}$$

3. The conditions imply $a \neq 0$. A factorization is given in equation 10.

$$f = \left(ax + \frac{b}{[2]_q}y + \sigma\right)\left(x + \frac{[2]_q}{b}cy - \frac{1}{a}\sigma\right) \tag{10}$$

4. A factorization is given in equation 11.

$$f = \left(ax + \tau y - \frac{a\omega}{\tau}\right)\left(x + \frac{1}{\tau}cy + \frac{\omega}{\tau}\right) \tag{11}$$

■

Example 14 *A reducible quadratic form might have two factorizations.*

1. *Suppose* $q \neq 1$ *and* $\sigma^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{q}$ *for some* $\sigma \in F$. *Then equations 9 and 10 give distinct factorizations of* $f = x^2 + [2]_q xy + y^2 + \frac{1}{q}$.
2. *Suppose* $q = -1$ *and* $\alpha \in F\setminus\{0\}$. *Set* $\tau = 2\alpha^2$ *and* $\omega = 4\alpha^4$. *Then equations 9 and 11 give distinct factorizations of* $f = x^2 + 4\alpha^4 y^2 - 2\alpha^2$.

3 Monic Normal Polynomials of Degree 2 in $R[t;\sigma,\delta]$

We recall the setup of section 1 in the comments preceding Theorem 4. Throughout this section R is a Noetherian domain, (σ,δ) is a quasi-derivation of R, σ is an automorphism, $S = R[t;\sigma,\delta]$, and Q is the classical quotient ring of R . We need Lemma 15, which is [2, Lemma 8.1.21].

Lemma 15 *If* I *is a two-sided ideal of* S *and* $I \cap R = (0)$ *then* I *is completely prime if and only if* $Q[t;\sigma,\delta]\, I$ *is completely prime and* $Q[t;\sigma,\delta]\, I \cap S = I$.

Recall that S is a q-skew extension if $\delta\sigma = q\sigma\delta$ for some central unit $q \in R$.

Lemma 16 *Suppose* $f = t^2 - v$ *is normal in* $R[t;\sigma,\delta]$ *where* $v \in R$. *Let* I *be the principal ideal generated by* f. *The following hold.*

1. *Both* f *and* v *commute with* t.
2. *For all* $r \in R$ *we have* $\delta^2(r) = vr - \sigma^2(r)v$ *and* $fr = \sigma^2(r)f$.
3. S *is a* (-1)*-skew extension*

Proof. Set $S = R[t;\sigma,\delta]$ and let φ be the automorphism of S such that $fs = \varphi(s)f$ for all $s \in S$. Then φ preserves degree in t since S is a domain. Therefore $\varphi(t) = at + b$ for some $a, b \in R$ with $a \neq 0$. We obtain equation 12 by expanding both sides of $ft = \varphi(t)f$.

$$t^3 - vt = at^3 + bt^2 - a\sigma(v)t - (a\delta(v) + bv) \tag{12}$$

By equating coefficients in equation 12 we obtain part 1.

Let $r \in R$ be arbitrarily chosen. We expand both sides of $\varphi(r)f = fr$ to obtain equation 13.

$$\varphi(r)t^2 - \varphi(r)v = \sigma^2(r)t^2 + (\delta\sigma + \sigma\delta)(r)t + \delta^2(r) - vr \tag{13}$$

If we equate coefficients in equation 13 we obtain both part 2 and part 3. ■

Proof of Theorem 4. If f is reducible then there is a factorization of the form in equation 14 for some $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \in Q$ with a_0 and b_0 both nonzero.

$$f = (a_0t + a_1)(b_0t + b_1) \tag{14}$$

Set $w = (b_0)^{-1}b_1$. We can rewrite equation 14 as equation 15.

$$t^2 - v = (a_0\sigma(b_0)t + a_0\delta(b_0) + a_1b_0)(t + w) \tag{15}$$

By expanding the right hand side of equation 15 and equating coefficients we obtain $a_0\sigma(b_0) = 1$ and $\sigma(w) = -(a_0\delta(b_0) + a_1b_0)$. Part 1 follows immediately.

Let I be the principal ideal of S generated by f. If $p_1 \in Q[x;\sigma,\delta]I \cap S$ then by [8, Proposition 2.1.16 (iv)] there exists $a \in R\setminus\{0\}$ such that $ap_1 \in I$. Therefore $ap_1 = p_2f$ for some $p_2 \in S$. We set $m_i = \deg p_i$ and write p_i as in equation 16 with $r_{i,j} \in R$ for $j \in \{0, \ldots, m_i\}$ and $i = 1, 2$.

$$p_i = \sum_{j=0}^{m_i} r_{i,j}t^j \tag{16}$$

We have $vt^j = t^jv$ for all j by part 1 of Lemma 16. Thus by expanding both sides of the equation $ap_1 = p_2f$ and equating coefficients we obtain $m_1 = m_2 + 2$, $r_{2,m_2} = ar_{1,m_2+2}$, $r_{2,m_2-1} = ar_{1,m_2+1}$, and the remaining coefficients satisfy the recursive formula in equation 17 for $j = 2, \ldots, m_2$.

$$r_{2,j-2} = ar_{1,j} + r_{2,j}v \tag{17}$$

Set $r_{3,m_2} = r_{1,m_2+2}$ and $r_{3,m_2-1} = r_{1,m_2+1}$. A straightforward induction argument using equation 17 proves there exists $r_{3,j} \in R$ such that $r_{2,j} = ar_{3,j}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, m_2$. Set $m_3 = m_2$ and define p_3 as in equation 16 with $i = 3$. Then $p_1 = p_3f$ since $p_2 = ap_3$ by construction. Therefore $p_1 \in I$ and, since p_1 was arbitrarily chosen, $Q[x;\sigma,\delta]I \cap S = I$.

It is easy to see $Q[t;\sigma,\delta]I$ is completely prime if and only if f is irreducible in $Q[t;\sigma,\delta]$ since Q is a division algebra. Part 2 follows by Lemma 5. ■

4 Prime Polynomials in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$

We assume $q \neq 1$ throughout this section. The element u described in Example 6 plays a particularly important role in this setting.

Given a polynomial $f(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ and scalars $\lambda, \mu \in F$ we form a new polynomial, denoted $f(\lambda x, \mu y)$, by replacing x and y by the scalar multiples λx and μy, respectively.

Lemma 17 *Suppose $p(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$ is prime and $x^i y^j$ is in the support of $p(x, y)$ with $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $p(x, y) = q^{j-i} p(qx, y/q) = q^{i-j} p(x/q, qy)$.*

Proof. A straightforward calculation gives $(p(x, y))(u) = (u)(p(qx, y/q))$. The result is immediate if p is a scalar multiple of u. Otherwise $p(x, y) \,|\, p(qx, y/q)$ since p is prime. By considering degrees, we have $p(x, y) = \alpha p(qx, y/q)$ for some nonzero $\alpha \in F$. Equating coefficients gives $\alpha = q^{j-i}$. A similar argument gives $p(x, y) = q^{i-j} p(x/q, qy)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 7. Part 1. The remarks in Example 6 show a scalar multiple of u must be prime. Now if p is prime and q is not a root of unity then Example 3 implies p is not a scalar multiple of x or y. Moreover since p is irreducible it cannot be expressed as the product of a nonconstant polynomial with x or with y. There must be terms $x^a y^0$ and $x^0 y^b$ in the support of p for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying part 1 and equating coefficients we obtain $a + b = 0$ so $a = b = 0$. Thus the support of p contains $1 = x^0 y^0$. Lemma 17 implies $i = j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^i y^j$ is in the support of p. We may write p as in equation 18 for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n \in F$ with $\lambda_n \neq 0$.

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i x^i y^i \tag{18}$$

A straightforward calculation gives $px = xg$ where g is given in equation 19.

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^i \lambda_i x^i y^i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} [i]_q \lambda_i x^{i-1} y^{i-1} \tag{19}$$

Now p is prime and $p \nmid x$ so $p|g$. Therefore $p = \beta g$ for some nonzero $\beta \in F$ since p and g have the same degree. By equating coefficients we obtain $\beta = q^{-n}$ and $[j+1] \lambda_{j+1} = \left(q^n - g^j\right) \lambda_j$ for all j, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. A straightforward induction argument shows $u^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \mu_{m,i} x^i y^i$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\mu_{m,0} = 1$ and the remaining coefficients are defined recursively by equation 20 for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$.

$$\mu_{m,j+1} = \frac{q^m - q^j}{[j+1]_q} \mu_{m,j} \tag{20}$$

Since the coefficients of p and u satisfy the same recursive formula we must have $p = \lambda_0 u^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But p is irreducible so $n = 1$, that is, $p = \lambda_0 u$.

Part 2. The proof in both cases is similar, so we only prove the result when $p \in F[x]$ is an irreducible and central polynomial in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$. Note that $\sigma(p) = p$ and $\delta(p) = 0$ since p is central in $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)$. Let I be the principal ideal generated by p and $J = I \cap F[x]$. Then J is a (σ, δ)-ideal, that is, $\sigma(J) = J$ and $\delta(J) \subseteq J$. Set $R = F[x]/J$. Then R is a commutative domain, which we may view as an F-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)/I$. There is an induced F-algebra endomorphism from R to R which we denote by $\widehat{\sigma}$. It is easy to show that $\widehat{\sigma}$ is an automorphism of R. There is an induced $\widehat{\sigma}$-derivation of R denoted by $\widehat{\delta}$. By [6, Exercise 2ZA] there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_1^q(F)/I \cong R\left[\hat{y}; \widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\delta}\right]$ which is a domain. We conclude p is prime by Lemma 5.

Part 3. In part 3(a) we have $f = bxy + k$. A straightforward calculation gives $fx = xg$ with $g = (qb)xy + (b + k)$. Then $f|xg$ and $f \nmid x$ so $f|g$. This implies $g = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda \in F$ since f and g have the same degree. Equating coefficients yields $q = \lambda$ and $b = k(q-1)$. Therefore $f = ku$, as desired. On the other hand if $k \neq 0$ and $f = ku$ then f is prime since u is prime, as explained in Example 6.

In part 3(b) we must prove that if $q = -1$ and $f = ax^2 + cy^2 + k$ is irreducible then f is prime. If $a = 0$ or $c = 0$ then this follows from part 2 and Remark 8. We easily pass to the case $c = 1$. We assume f is irreducible but not prime in $\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(F)$ and show this leads to a contradiction.

We match our notation to Theorem 4. Set $R = F[x]$ and let Q be the quotient ring of R. Equation 2 shows δ is an inner σ-derivation on Q, thus there is an isomorphism $Q[y;\sigma,\delta] \cong Q[t;\sigma]$ which is the identity map on Q and sends $y + (2x)^{-1}$ to t by [5, Lemma 1.5]. Then f is reducible in $Q[y;\sigma,\delta]$ by part 2 of Theorem 4 and the image of $f = y^2 + ax^2 + k$ in $Q[t;\sigma]$ is $t^2 - p$ where $p = -\left(ax^2 + (2x)^{-2} + k\right)$. Thus $p = \sigma(w)w$ for some $w \in Q$ by part 1 of Theorem 4.

Since $w \in Q$ we may find relatively prime $r, s \in F[x]$ such that $w = rs^{-1}$. Multiply both sides of $p = \sigma(w)w$ by $4x^2\sigma(s)s$ and expand to obtain equation 21.

$$-\left(4ax^4 + 4kx^2 + 1\right)\sigma(s)s = 4x^2\sigma(r)r \tag{21}$$

Set $g = 4ax^4 + 4kx^2 + 1$. Then $g \in F[x]$, which is a unique factorization domain, so g has an irreducible factor. Thus there exist monic $p_1, p_2 \in F[x]$ such that p_1 is irreducible and $g = 4ap_1p_2$. We consider all possibilities for p_1 and derive a contradiction in each case.

Case 1 Suppose $p_1 = x^2 + \alpha x + \beta$ for some nonzero $\alpha, \beta \in F$. By expanding and equating coefficients in $g = 4ap_1p_2$ we obtain $p_2 = x^2 - \alpha x + \beta$. If we set $\tau = \frac{1}{2\beta}$ and $\omega = \alpha\tau$ then it is easy to show $\tau^2 = a$ and $\tau - k = \omega^2$. By part 2(b) of Corollary 2 we have f is reducible in $\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(F)$, which is a contradiction. **Note:** this argument shows the coefficient of x must be zero in any quadratic factor of g.

Case 2 Suppose p_1 has degree 3. Then p_2 has degree 1 and we pass to Case 3.

Case 3 Suppose $p_1 = x + \gamma$ for some nonzero $\gamma \in F$. Then $x - \gamma$ is also a factor of g so $p_2 = (x - \gamma)\left(x^2 + \lambda\right)$ for some nonzero $\lambda \in F$ by the note we made in case 1. We pass to case 4 if $x^2 + \lambda$ is irreducible. Otherwise $x^2 + \lambda = (x + \rho)(x - \rho)$ for some $\rho \in F$. We set $\tau = \frac{1}{2\gamma\rho}$ and $w = \tau(\rho + \gamma)$ and argue as in case 1.

Case 4 Suppose $p_1 = x^2 + \lambda$ for some nonzero $\lambda \in F$. Then equation 21 implies $p_1|r$, hence $r = p_1r_1$ for some $r_1 \in F[x]$. We substitute into equation 21 and cancel common factors to obtain equation 22.

$$-p_2\sigma(s)s = 4x^2\sigma(r_1)r_1p_1 \tag{22}$$

Since r and s are relatively prime, equation 22 implies $p_1|p_2$. Clearly $p_1 = p_2$ in this case. Expand $g = 4ap_1p_2$ and compare coefficients to see that $a = k^2$. By part 2(a) of Corollary 2 we have f is reducible in $\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(F)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 5 Suppose $p_1 = \frac{1}{4a}g$. Then equation 21 implies $g|r$, hence $r = gr_2$ for some $r_2 \in F[x]$. We substitute into equation 21 and cancel common factors to obtain equation 23.

$$-\sigma(s)s = 4x^2\sigma(r_2)r_2g \tag{23}$$

But equation 23 implies $g|s$, which is a contradiction since r and s are relatively prime.

■

References

[1] M. Awami, M. Van den Bergh, and F. Van Oystaeyen, Note on Derivations of Graded Rings and Classification of Differential Polynomial Rings, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A* **40** (1988), 175-183.

[2] J. L. Bueso, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, and A. Verschoren, *Algorithmic methods in non-commutative algebra*, in: Mathematical Modelling: Theory and Applications, 17. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.

[3] S. C. Coutinho, *A Primer of Algebraic D-modules*, in: London Mathematical Society Student Texts 33, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[4] R. Coulibaly and K. Price, Factorization in Quantum Planes, to appear in *Missouri Journal of Mathematics*.

[5] K. R. Goodearl, Prime Ideals in Skew Polynomial Rings and Quantized Weyl Algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **150** (1992), 324-377.

[6] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr., *An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, second edition, in: London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 61. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[7] L. Hellström and S. D. Silvestrov, *Commuting Elements in q-Deformed Heisenberg Algebras*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.

[8] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wiley, Chichester, England, 1987.